



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/839,300				CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,300	04/23/2001	Yuefeng Liu	6502.0333	
22852 7590	09/06/2005	EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, H	ENDERSON, FARAB	NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA		
901 NEW YORK	AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	DC 20001-4413	2665		

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office A-Alex Communication	09/839,3	09/839,300 LIU, YUEFENG					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	7	Art Unit				
			nau Ba Nguyen	2665	l			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- eperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months affect patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication.) days, a reply within the state tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>16 June 2005</i> .	•					
•	·	b)☐ This action is r	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition f	osecution as to the	e merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	· ·	nsideration.					
5)□		•						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.							
7)[]	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election r	equirement.	•	•			
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
•	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,—	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			, (-, (, ,-				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have bee	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority of			ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of		• •		Stage			
	application from the Internation	• •			Ü			
* (See the attached detailed Office action	•	,	ed.				
					•			
Attachmer	nt(s)							
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PI		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fer No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			
гаре	or 110(5) Iviali Date							

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 32, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 32 recites the limitation "the channel" in line 17. Claim 37 recites the limitation "a second destination node" in lines 9-10; "the first destination" in line 31. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2665

4. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arrow (6,175,917) in view of Giniger (6,751,729).

Regarding claim 1, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a method for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 115-fig.1) a packet from a source node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110-fig.1), (see column 7, lines 20-25);

determining (by the VPN unit 115-fig.1) whether the packet (from node 112-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), (see column 7, lines 28-48).

Arrow does explicitly disclose forwarding the packet over a channel to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1, Arrow) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2, Arrow) based on the determination (see column 8, lines 18-19, Arrow), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Giniger discloses forwarding the packet over a channel (secured tunneling) to a destination node (device 120-fig.1) in the

Art Unit: 2665

second private network (VPN group, see col.7, lines 59-61) based on the determination (see column 7, lines 54-64), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links (115-fig.1) through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel (col.7, lines 44-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Giniger's teaching to Arrow's system with the motivation being to provide a secured data transmission between nodes over Internet.

Regarding claim 2, Arrow further discloses that said forwarding comprising:

- (1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to the destination node based on the determination; and
- (2) sending the packet to the destination node using the address mapping, the address mapping reflecting a relationship between (a) an internal address for the destination node for use in communicating among nodes in the second private network and (b) an external address for the destination node suitable for communicating over the public network.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the

Art Unit: 2665

LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 145-fig.1 using the LUR, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 (corresponding to (2)). The LUR reflects a relationship between an internal address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the Virtual Private Network for communication among the VPN units, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (a)), and an external address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 for communication over the public network, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (b)).

Regarding claim 3, Arrow discloses when the data packet sending from an end-station 112-fig.1 to a router 114-fig.1, the packet is encapsulated (corresponding to adding external address) for transmission to a destination node 140 in the VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the public network 100-fig.1 through the VPN unit 115, see column 7, lines 20-25.

Regarding claim 4, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 *encrypting the* packet in sending process from a source address 112-fig.1 to a destination address 140-fig.1 of VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, lines 57-60.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 5, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the LUR of the transmitting packet from the Lookup Tables. This LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, also see claim 2, (corresponding to accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is destined for the second private network).

Regarding claim 6, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the Lookup Tables to obtain a LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to the destination 140-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, 50-52, also see claim 2, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Regarding claim 7, Arrow discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A

Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a method for communicating between a first

private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including

VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 115-fig.1) a packet from a source node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110-fig.1), (see column 7, lines 20-25);

Art Unit: 2665

determining (by the VPN unit 115-fig.1) whether the packet (from node 112-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), (see column 7, lines 28-48);

- (1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to the destination node based on the determination; and
- (2) sending the packet over a channel to the destination node using the address mapping, the address mapping reflecting a relationship between (a) an internal address for the destination node for use in communicating among nodes in the second private network and (b) an external address for the destination node suitable for communicating over the public network, (c) wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (1))

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 145-fig.1 using the LUR, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 (corresponding to (2)). The LUR reflects a relationship between an internal address for

Art Unit: 2665

the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the Virtual Private Network for communication among the VPN units, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (a)), and an external address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 for communication over the public network, see column 11, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (b)).

Arrow does explicitly disclose (c) forwarding the packet over a channel to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1, Arrow) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2, Arrow) based on the determination (see column 8, lines 18-19, Arrow), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Giniger discloses forwarding the packet over a channel (secured tunneling) to a destination node (device 120-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group, see col.7, lines 59-61) based on the determination (see column 7, lines 54-64), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links (115-fig.1) through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel (col.7, lines 44-67)(corresponding to (c)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Giniger's teaching to

Art Unit: 2665

Arrow's system with the motivation being to provide a secured transmission between nodes.

Regarding claim 8, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a method for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) that uses a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 145-fig.1) a packet from a source node (remote node 140-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group-fig.1), (see column 8, lines 21-26);

determining (by the VPN unit 145-fig.1) whether the packet (from the remote node 140-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), (see column 8, lines 28-32)

Arrow does explicitly disclose forwarding the packet over a channel to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1, Arrow) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2, Arrow) based on the determination (see column 8, lines 18-19, Arrow), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

Art Unit: 2665

However, in the same field of endeavor, Giniger discloses forwarding the packet over a channel (secured tunneling) to a destination node (device 120-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group, see col.7, lines 59-61) based on the determination (see column 7, lines 54-64), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links (115-fig.1) through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel (col.7, lines 44-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Giniger's teaching to

Arrow's system with the motivation being to provide a secured transmission between nodes.

Regarding claim 9, Arrow further discloses said forwarding comprising:

- (1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to a router node based on the determination;
- (2) sending the packet to the router node using the address mapping, wherein (a) the router node forwards the packet to the destination node based on an internal address in the packet for the destination node suitable for communicating among nodes in the first private network.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for

transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, , see column 8, lines 26-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 sending the received data packet from the node 140 to the VPN unit 115-fig.1 (*a router node*) using the LUR, see column 8, lines 21-32 (corresponding to (2)). The VPN unit 115-fig.1 (*the router node*) forwards the packet received from the VPN unit 145-fig.1 based on an internal address reflected from the LUR for the destination node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1 (*first private network*) for communication among the nodes 111 & 113, see column 6, lines 8-18; column 8, lines 42-52 (corresponding to (a)).

Regarding claim 10, The limitation of this claim calls for a packet transmission from a source 140-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1, which is a reversed process of claim 3.

Therefore, a data packet sending from a remote station 140-fig.1 should be encapsulated (corresponding to adding the external address to the packet) for transmission over the public network 100-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145, 115, respectively, see column 7, lines 20-25.

Regarding claim 11, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 *encrypting the* packet in sending process from a source address 140-fig.1 to a destination address

112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, lines 46-50; column 6, lines 61-67.

Regarding claim 12, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the LUR of a transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintaining by the VPN unit. If the LUR of the transmitting packet does not reflect a destination address 112-fig.1, then the transmitting packet from the node 140-fig.1 is not destined for the VPN unit 115-fig.1 to reach the unit 112-fig.1, see claim 8, also see also column 8, lines 29-33 (corresponding to accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is not destined for the second private network).

Regarding claim 13, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the Lookup Tables to obtain the LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to a. destination 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, see claim 9, also see column 8, lines 26-32, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 14:

Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a method for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) that uses a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 145-fig.1) a packet from a source node (remote node 140-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group-fig.1), (see column 8, lines 21-26);

determining (by the VPN unit 145-fig.1) whether the packet (from the remote node 140-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), (see column 8, lines 28-32); and

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for the transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, (a router node), see column 8, lines 26-32 (corresponding to obtaining an address mapping corresponding to a router node based on the determination).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 115-fig.1 (a router node) using the LUR, see column 8, lines 21-32 (corresponding to sending the packet over a channel to the router node using the

address mapping). The VPN unit 115-fig.1 (the router node) forwards the packet received from the VPN unit 145-fig.1 based on an internal address reflected from the LUR for the destination node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1 (first private network) for communication among the nodes 111 & 113, see column 6, lines 8-18; column 8, lines 42-52 (corresponding to the router node forwards the packet to the destination node in the first private network based on an internal address in the packet for the destination node suitable for communicating among nodes in the first private network)

Arrow does explicitly disclose forwarding the packet over a channel to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1, Arrow) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2, Arrow) based on the determination (see column 8, lines 18-19, Arrow), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Giniger discloses forwarding the packet over a channel (secured tunneling) to a destination node (device 120-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group, see col.7, lines 59-61) based on the determination (see column 7, lines 54-64), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links (115-fig.1) through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel (col.7, lines 44-67).

Art Unit: 2665

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Giniger's teaching to

Arrow's system with the motivation being to provide a secured transmission between nodes.

Regarding claim 15, Arrow discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, an apparatus (VPN unit 115-fig.1 & also see fig.4) for communicating between a first private network (a LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155 in fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) that uses a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

a memory (a RAM 402-fig.4) having program instructions (instructions), see column 8, lines 59-60; and

a processor (a processor 400-fig.4) responsive to (for executing) the program instructions (instructions stored in the RAM 402-fig.4) to receive a packet from a source node (a node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (a LAN 110-fig.1), determine whether the packet is destined for the second private network (the VPN group, fig.1) and forward the packet over a channel to a destination node (a remote client 140-fig.1) in the second private network based on the determination (a processor 400-fig.4 receives a transmitting packet from a source node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1. The processor 400-fig.4 determines whether the transmitting packet is destined for the VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the VPN group-fig.1, and forwards the transmitting packet to a destination node

Art Unit: 2665

140-fig.1 of the VPN unit 145 in the VPN group, see column 7, lines 20-48; see column 8, lines 18-19; and also see claim 1).

Arrow does explicitly disclose forwarding the packet over a channel to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1, Arrow) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2, Arrow) based on the determination (see column 8, lines 18-19, Arrow), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Giniger discloses forwarding the packet over á channel (secured tunneling) to a destination node (device 120-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group, see col.7, lines 59-61) based on the determination (see column 7, lines 54-64), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links (115-fig.1) through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel (col.7, lines 44-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Giniger's teaching to

Arrow's system with the motivation being to provide a secured transmission between nodes.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 16, Arrow discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, an apparatus (a VPN unit 145-fig.1 & also see fig.4) for communicating between a first private network (a LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155, fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) that uses a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

a memory (a RAM 402-fig.4) having program instructions (instructions), see column 8, lines 59-60; and

a processor (a processor 400-fig.4) responsive to (for executing) the program instructions (instructions stored in the RAM 402-fig.4) to receive a packet from a source node (a remote client 140-fig.1) in the second private network (the VPN group, fig.1), determine whether the packet is destined for the second private network (the VPN group, fig.1), and forward the packet over a channel to a destination node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110) based on the determination (a processor 400-fig.4 receives a transmitting packet from a source node 140-fig.1 of the VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the VPN group-fig.1. The processor 400-fig.4 determines whether the transmitting packet is destined for the VPN unit 115-fig.1 in the VPN group-fig.1, and forward the transmitting packet to a destination node 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115 in the VPN group, see column 8, lines 24-51. see column 6, lines 8-14, and also see claim 8).

Arrow does explicitly disclose forwarding the packet over a channel to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1, Arrow) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2, Arrow) based on the determination (see column 8, lines 18-19, Arrow), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Giniger discloses forwarding the packet over a channel (secured tunneling) to a destination node (device 120-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group, see col.7, lines 59-61) based on the determination (see column 7, lines 54-64), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links (115-fig.1) through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel (col.7, lines 44-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Giniger's teaching to Arrow's system with the motivation being to provide a secured transmission between nodes.

Regarding claim 17, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a computer-readable medium (a RAM 400-fig.4) containing instructions for performing a method for communicating

Art Unit: 2665

between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 115-fig.1) a packet from a source node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110-fig.1), see column 7, lines 20-25;

determining (by the VPN unit 115-fig.1) whether the packet (from node 112-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 7, lines 28-48; and

- (1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to the destination node based on the determination; and
- (2) sending the packet over a channel to the destination node using the address mapping, the address mapping reflecting a relationship between (a) an internal address for the destination node for use in communicating among nodes in the second private network and (b) an external address for the destination node suitable for communicating over the public network, (c) wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for

Art Unit: 2665

transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (1))

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 145-fig.1 using the LUR, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 (corresponding to (2)). The LUR reflects a relationship between an internal address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the Virtual Private Network for communication among the VPN units, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (a)), and an external address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 for communication over the public network, see column 11, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (b)).

Arrow does explicitly disclose (c) forwarding the packet over a channel to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1, Arrow) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2, Arrow) based on the determination (see column 8, lines 18-19, Arrow), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Giniger discloses forwarding the packet over a channel (secured tunneling) to a destination node (device 120-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group, see col.7, lines 59-61) based on the determination (see column 7, lines 54-64), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links

Art Unit: 2665

(115-fig.1) through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel (col.7, lines 44-67) (corresponding to (c)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Giniger's teaching to Arrow's system with the motivation being to provide a secured transmission between nodes.

Regarding claim 18, Arrow discloses when the data packet sending from an end-station 112-fig.1 to a router 114-fig.1, the packet is encapsulated (corresponding to adding external address) for transmission to a destination node 140 in the VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the public network 100-fig.1 through the VPN unit 115, see column 7, lines 20-25

Regarding claim 19, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 *encrypting the packet* in sending process from a source address 112-fig.1 to a destination address 140-fig.1 of VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 & specific in column 7, lines 57-60; also see column 6, lines 61-67.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 20, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the LUR of the transmitting packet from the Lookup Tables. This LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, also see claim 17, (corresponding to accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is destined for the second private network).

Regarding claim 21 Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the Lookup Tables to obtain a LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to the destination 140-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, 50-52, also see claim 17, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Regarding claim 22, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a computer-readable medium (a RAM 400-fig.4) containing instructions for performing a method for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

Art Unit: 2665

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 145-fig.1) a packet from a source node (remote node 140-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group-fig.1), see column 8, lines 21-26;

determining (by the VPN unit 145-fig.1) whether the packet (from the remote node 140-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 8, lines 28-32; and

- (1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to a router node based on the determination;
- (2) sending the packet over a channel to the router node using the address mapping, wherein (a) the router node forwards the packet to a destination node in the first private network based on an internal address in the packet for the destination node suitable for communicating among nodes in the first private network, (b) wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, , see column 8, lines 26-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Art Unit: 2665

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 sending the received data packet from the node 140 to the VPN unit 115-fig.1 (*a router node*) using the LUR, see column 8, lines 21-32 (corresponding to (2)). The VPN unit 115-fig.1 (*the router node*) forwards the packet received from the VPN unit 145-fig.1 based on an internal address reflected from the LUR for the destination node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1 (*first private network*) for communication among the nodes 111 & 113, see column 6, lines 8-18; column 8, lines 42-52 (corresponding to (a)).

Arrow does explicitly disclose (b) forwarding the packet over a channel to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1, Arrow) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2, Arrow) based on the determination (see column 8, lines 18-19, Arrow), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Giniger discloses forwarding the packet over a channel (secured tunneling) to a destination node (device 120-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group, see col.7, lines 59-61) based on the determination (see column 7, lines 54-64), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links (115-fig.1) through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel (col.7, lines 44-67)(corresponding to (b)).

Art Unit: 2665

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Giniger's teaching to

Arrow's system with the motivation being to provide a secured transmission between nodes.

Regarding claim 23, The limitation of this claim calls for a packet transmission from a source 140-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1, which is a reversed process of claim 3. Therefore, a data packet sending from a remote station 140-fig.1 should be encapsulated (corresponding to adding the external address to the packet) for transmission over the public network 100-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145, 115, respectively, see column 7, lines 20-25.

Regarding claim 24, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 *encrypting the packet* in sending process from a source address 140-fig.1 to a destination address 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, lines 46-50; column 6, lines 61-67.

Regarding claim 25, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the LUR of a transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintaining by the VPN unit. If the LUR of the transmitting packet does not reflect a destination address 112-fig.1, then the transmitting packet from the node 140-fig.1 is not destined for the VPN unit 115-fig.1 to

Art Unit: 2665

reach the unit 112-fig.1, see also column 8, lines 29-33, and also see claim 22, (corresponding to accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is not destined for the second private network).

Regarding claim 26, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the Lookup Tables to obtain the LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to a destination 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, see claim 9, also see column 8, lines 26-32, also see claim 22, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Regarding claim 27, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a method for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

means for receiving (VPN unit 115-fig.1) a packet from a source node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110-fig.1), see column 7, lines 20-25;

Art Unit: 2665

means for determining (by the VPN unit 115-fig.1) whether the packet (from node 112-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 7, lines 28-48;

- (1) means for obtaining an address mapping corresponding to the destination node based on the determination; and
- (2) means for sending the packet over a channel to the destination node using the address mapping, the address mapping reflecting a relationship between (a) an internal address for the destination node for use in communicating among nodes in the second private network and (b) an external address for the destination node suitable for communicating over the public network infrastructure, (c) wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 145-fig.1 using the LUR, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 (corresponding to (2)). The LUR reflects a relationship between an internal address for

Art Unit: 2665

the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the Virtual Private Network for communication among the VPN units, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (a)), and an external address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 for communication over the public network, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (b)).

Arrow does explicitly disclose (c) forwarding the packet over a channel to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1, Arrow) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2, Arrow) based on the determination (see column 8, lines 18-19, Arrow), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Giniger discloses forwarding the packet over a channel (secured tunneling) to a destination node (device 120-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group, see col.7, lines 59-61) based on the determination (see column 7, lines 54-64), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links (115-fig.1) through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel (col.7, lines 44-67)(corresponding to (c)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Giniger's teaching to

Art Unit: 2665

Arrow's system with the motivation being to provide a secured transmission between nodes.

Regarding claim 28, Arrow discloses when the data packet sending from an end-station 112-fig.1 to a router 114-fig.1, the packet is encapsulated (corresponding to adding external address) for transmission to a destination node 140 in the VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the public network 100-fig.1 through the VPN unit 115, see column 7, lines 20-25.

Regarding claim 29, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 *encrypting the packet* in sending process from a source address 112-fig.1 to a destination address 140-fig.1 of VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 & in specific column 7, lines 57-60.

Regarding claim 30, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the LUR of the transmitting packet from the Lookup Tables. This LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, also see claim 27, (corresponding to *means for accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is destined for the second private network*).

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 31, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 accessing the Lookup Tables to obtain a LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to the destination 140-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32, 50-52, also see claim 27, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Regarding claim 32:

Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, an apparatus (VPN unit 145-fig.1) for communicating between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network infrastructure (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

means for receiving (VPN unit 145-fig.1) a packet from a source node (remote node 140-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group-fig.1), see column 8, lines 21-26;

means for determining (by the VPN unit 145-fig.1) whether the packet (from the remote node 140-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 8, lines 28-32; and

(1) means for obtaining an address mapping corresponding to a router node based on the determination;

(2) means for sending the packet to the router node using the address mapping,
(a) wherein the router node forwards the packet to a destination node in the first private
network based on an internal address in the packet for the destination node suitable for
communicating among nodes in the first private network, (b) wherein the channel
comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality
of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination
node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, , see column 8, lines 26-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 sending the received data packet from the node 140 to the VPN unit 115-fig.1 (a router node) using the LUR, see column 8, lines 21-32 (corresponding to (2)). The VPN unit 115-fig.1 (the router node) forwards the packet received from the VPN unit 145-fig.1 based on an internal address reflected from the LUR for the destination node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1 (first private network) for communication among the nodes 111 & 113, see column 6, lines 8-18; column 8, lines 42-52 (corresponding to (a)).

Arrow does explicitly disclose (b) forwarding the packet over a channel to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1, Arrow) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2, Arrow) based on the determination (see column 8, lines 18-19, Arrow), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Giniger discloses forwarding the packet over a channel (secured tunneling) to a destination node (device 120-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group, see col.7, lines 59-61) based on the determination (see column 7, lines 54-64), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links (115-fig.1) through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel (col.7, lines 44-67)(corresponding to (b)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Giniger's teaching to Arrow's system with the motivation being to provide a secured transmission between nodes.

Regarding claim 33, The limitation of this claim calls for a packet transmission from a source 140-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1, which is a reversed process of claim 27.

Art Unit: 2665

Therefore, a data packet sending from a remote station 140-fig.1 should be encapsulated (corresponding to *adding the external address to the packet*) for transmission over the public network 100-fig.1 to destination 112-fig.1 via the VPN unit 145, 115, respectively, see column 7, lines 20-25.

Regarding claim 34, Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 *encrypting the packet* in sending process from a source address 140-fig.1 to a destination address 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1 in the VPN, see column 7, lines 46-50; column 6, lines 61-67.

Regarding claim 35, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the LUR of a transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintaining by the VPN unit. If the LUR of the transmitting packet does not reflect a destination address 112-fig.1, then the transmitting packet from the node 140-fig.1 is not destined for the VPN unit 115-fig.1 to reach the unit 112-fig.1, see claim 8, also see also column 8, lines 29-33 (corresponding to accessing the address mapping based on a determination that the packet is not destined for the second private network).

Regarding claim 36, Arrow discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 accessing the Lookup

Tables to obtain the LUR for a destination address in the transmitting packet. This LUR

Art Unit: 2665

identifies the existence of a member of individual VPN, which corresponds to a destination 112-fig.1 of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, see claim 9, also see column 8, lines 26-32, (corresponding to determining whether an address mapping exists for a destination address in the packet).

Regarding claim 37, Arrow (6,175,917) discloses A Method and Apparatus for Swapping A Computer Operating System. In Arrow, a *method for communicating* between a first private network (LAN 110-fig.1) and a second private network (a VPN group including VPN units 115, 125, 135, 145, 155-fig.1, col.2, lines 22-27) configured from nodes (VPN units, fig.1) in a public network (public network 100-fig.1), comprising:

receiving, at VPN unit 115-fig.1, a first packet from a source node (node 112-fig.1) in the first private network (LAN 110-fig.1), see column 7, lines 20-25, wherein the router node (VPN unit 115-fig.1) facilitates connection between the first private network (the LAN 110-fig.1) and the second private network (the VPN group-fig.1)

determining (by the VPN unit 115-fig.1) whether the first packet (from node 112-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (VPN unit 145 in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 7, lines 28-48;

(1) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to a second destination node based on the determination; and

Art Unit: 2665

(2) sending the packet over a channel to the second destination node using the address mapping, the address mapping reflecting a relationship between (2a) an internal address for the second destination node for use in communicating among nodes in the second private network and (2b) an external address for the second destination node suitable for communicating over the public infrastructure, (2c) wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 145-fig.1, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (1)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 115-fig.1 sending a received data packet to the VPN unit 145-fig.1 using the LUR, see column 7, line 46-column 8, line 20 (corresponding to (2)). The LUR reflects a relationship between an internal address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 in the Virtual Private Network for communication among the VPN units, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (2a)), and an external address for the destination node VPN unit 145-fig.1 for communication over the public network, see column 7, lines 28-32 (corresponding to (2b)).

receiving (receiving at VPN unit 145-fig.1) a second packet from a source node (remote node 140-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group-fig.1), see column 8, lines 21-26;

determining (by the VPN unit 145-fig.1) whether the second packet (from the remote node 140-fig.1) is destined for the second private network (VPN unit 115 in the VPN group, fig.1), see column 8, lines 28-32; and

- (3) obtaining an address mapping corresponding to the router node based on the determination that the second packet is not destined for the second private network; and
- (4) sending the packet over the channel to the router node using the address mapping corresponding to the router node, wherein (4a) the router node forwards the packet to the first destination node based on an internal address in the second packet for the first destination node suitable for communicating among nodes in the first private network.

In Arrow, the determination of the source and destination of a transmitting packet is made with reference to Lookup Tables. Therefore, when a Lookup Result (LUR) for transmitting packet from the LookUp Tables maintain by the VPN unit is obtained, the LUR should correspond to a destination of the VPN unit 115-fig.1, see column 8, lines 26-33 (corresponding to (3)).

Arrow further discloses the VPN unit 145-fig.1 sending the received data packet from the node 140 to the VPN unit 115-fig.1 (a router node) using the LUR, see column

Art Unit: 2665

8, lines 21-32 (corresponding to (4)). The VPN unit 115-fig.1 (*the router node*) forwards the packet received from the VPN unit 145-fig.1 based on an internal address reflected from the LUR for the destination node 112-fig.1 in the LAN 110-fig.1 (*first private network*) for communication among the nodes 111 & 113, see column 6, lines 8-18; column 8, lines 21-52 (corresponding to (4a)).

Arrow does explicitly disclose (2c) forwarding the packet over a channel to a destination node (remote client 140 of the VPN unit 145 -fig.1, Arrow) in the second private network (of the VPN group, see step 22-fig.2, Arrow) based on the determination (see column 8, lines 18-19, Arrow), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Giniger discloses forwarding the packet over a channel (secured tunneling) to a destination node (device 120-fig.1) in the second private network (VPN group, see col.7, lines 59-61) based on the determination (see column 7, lines 54-64), wherein the channel comprises a plurality of virtual links (115-fig.1) through the public network that connects a plurality of channel nodes, the channel nodes including the source node and the destination node, such that only the channel nodes can communicate over the channel (col.7, lines 44-67)(corresponding to (2c)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Giniger's teaching to

Art Unit: 2665

Arrow's system with the motivation being to provide a secured transmission between nodes.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchau Ba Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3148. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m..

Art Unit: 2665

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuongchau Ba Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2665

DUCHO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Luchitte
8-4-05